



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/797,162	03/10/2004	John R. Pendray	S01.12-1010/STL 11723	4071	
7590 11/02/2004			EXAMINER		
Bryan F. Erickson			RENNER, CRAIG A		
Westman, Chan Suite 1600	nplin & Kelly	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
900 Second Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319			2652 DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/797,162	PENDRAY ET AL.	J.
Office Action Summ	nary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	,	Craig A. Renner	2652	
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication ap		t with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If the period for reply specified above is less th - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	DMMUNICATION provisions of 37 CFR 1 of this communication. nan thirty (30) days, a re naximum statutory perioc od for reply will, by statu ee months after the maili	.136(a). In no event, however, ma ply within the statutory minimum ol d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, te, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed I thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on	. •		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.		is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in co	<u>·</u>		natters, prosecution as to the ment	s is
closed in accordance with th				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending	in the applicatio	n		
4a) Of the above claim(s)				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe		· ·		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejecte				
7) Claim(s) is/are object				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to		r election requirement.		
Application Papers		•		
	to butbe Fueri			
9) The specification is objected	-		As he that Boundary	
10) The drawing(s) filed on				
Applicant may not request that				
			ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obj	jected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attac	ned Office Action of form P1O-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No	ne of:		•	
 ☐ Certified copies of the 	priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the	priority documer	nts have been received in	n Application No	
			en received in this National Stage	
application from the In	iternational Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Offi	ce action for a lis	t of the certified copies r	not received.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			w Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC 			No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	•	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2004	11029

Application/Control Number: 10/797,162

Art Unit: 2652

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I - FIGS. 1-2.

Species II - FIGS. 4-5.

Species III - FIGS. 6-7.

Species IV - FIGS. 8-9.

Species V - FIG. 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 2652

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. A telephone call was made to Bryan F. Erickson on 29 October 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig A. Renner whose telephone number is (703) 308-0559. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30 AM 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Craig A. Renner Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2652

CAR